VS, SDR and TAA wish to acknowledge support of this work by the Robert A. Welch Foundation and the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation. We also thank Ethyl Corporation for a generous supply of tricarbonyl(methylcyclopentadienyl)manganese. JLA thanks the National Science Foundation.

#### References

- ADAMS, R. D. & CHODOSH, D. F. (1978). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 812–817.
- ANDRIANOV, V. G., STRUCHKOV, YU. T., KOLOBOVA, N. E. ANTONOVA, A. B. & OBEZYUK, N. S. (1976). J. Organomet. Chem. 122, C33–C36.
- BARBEAU, C., DICHMANN, K. S. & RICARD, L. (1973). Can. J. Chem. 51, 3027–3031.
- BARBEAU, C. & DUBEY, R. J. (1973). Can. J. Chem. 51, 3684-3689.
- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891–1898.

- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104-109.
- DE, R. L., SEYERL, J. V. & HUTTNER, G. (1979). J. Organomet. Chem. 178, 319-324.
- FONTANA, S., SCHUBERT, U. & FISCHER, E. O. (1978). J. Organomet. Chem. 146, 39-44.
- GERMAIN, G., MAIN, P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1971). Acta Cryst. A27, 368-376.
- GRANOFF, B. & JACOBSON, R. A. (1968). Inorg. Chem. 7, 2328–2333.
- HERRMANN, W. A., PLANK, J., ZIEGLER, M. L. & WEIDENHAMMER, K. (1979). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 3133–3135.
- HUTTNER, G., SCHMID, H. G. & LORENZ, H. (1976). Chem. Ber. 109, 3741–3748.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. 111, Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- SHAKIR, R. & ATWOOD, J. L. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37. 1656–1659.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge. England.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 1574–1577

### catena-Acetato(di-2-pyridylamine)-µ-perchlorato-copper(II) Monohydrate

By Noel Ray, Suresh Tyagi and Brian Hathaway\*

Chemistry Department, University College, Cork, Ireland

(Received 14 September 1981: accepted 10 December 1981)

Abstract.  $[Cu(C_{10}H_9N_3)(C_2H_3O_2)(ClO_4)]$ .  $H_2O$ ,  $C_{12}H_{14}$ -ClCuN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>,  $M_r = 411\cdot3$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , Z = 4,  $a = 7\cdot309$  (3),  $b = 16\cdot363$  (4),  $c = 13\cdot646$  (4) Å,  $\beta = 10\overline{3}\cdot085$  (3)°,  $U = 1589\cdot65$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_m = 1\cdot75$  (2),  $D_c = 1\cdot72$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>.  $R = 0\cdot0517$  for 2518 observed reflections. The local molecular CuN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>' chromophore involves an elongated rhombic octahedral structure with near symmetrically bonded di-2-pyridylamine (dpyam) and acetate groups bonding in the plane and bridging semi-coordinate perchlorate groups completing the six coordination.

**Introduction.** In a programme to examine the bonding role of the acetate ligand (Hathaway, Ray, Kennedy, O'Brien & Murphy, 1980) in ternary complexes of the  $Cu^{11}$  ion with bis-nitrogen chelate ligands, dark-green crystals of the title complex (1) were obtained. As the

0567-7408/82/051574-04\$01.00

IR spectrum (Hathaway & Underhill, 1961) suggested that the perchlorate group was bonded to Cu<sup>11</sup>, but failed to establish the bonding of the acetate and water molecules, the structure of (1) was determined. (1) was prepared by mixing 0.01 mol of  $[Cu(CH_3CO_2)_2]H_2O$ and 0.01 mol of  $[Cu(H_2O)_6](ClO_4)_2$ , both in 60 ml of (1:2) aqueous ethanol, and adding 0.022 mol of dpyam in 60 ml of (1:2) aqueous ethanol. The solution was boiled, filtered, and allowed to stand and the dark-green crystals were deposited overnight.

Found: C, 35.00; H, 3.70; Cl. 8.63; Cu. 14.41; N. 9.91%; calculated for  $C_{12}H_{14}ClCuN_3O_7$ ; C, 35.04; H, 3.41; Cl. 8.63, Cu, 15.46; N, 10.22%. Intensities were collected on a Philips PW 1100 diffractometer with graphite-monochromatized Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation. Reflections with  $3.0 < \theta < 32^{\circ}$  in one quadrant were examined in the  $\theta$ - $2\theta$  scan mode with constant scan speed of 0.05° s<sup>-1</sup>, and a variable scan width of (0.7 + 0.1 tan  $\theta$ )°. 2518 unique reflections were retained [I >

© 1982 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

 $2 \cdot 5\sigma(I)$ ]. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied, but no correction was made for absorption  $[\mu(Mo \ K\alpha) = 15 \cdot 27 \ cm^{-1}]$ . The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier techniques and refined by blocked-matrix least-squares analysis with anisotropic temperature factors for all non-H atoms.

As the temperature factors of the O atoms of the  $ClO_4^-$  group at this stage were high and the maximum residual electron density was associated with four peaks around the  $ClO_4^-$  group at reasonable Cl-O bond distances of 1.4-1.5 Å, a disordered  $ClO_4^-$  group was introduced. With isotropic temperature factors of the Cl and O atoms fixed at 0.1 Å<sup>2</sup>, the site-occupation factors (s.o.f.) of the major and minor components of the  $ClO_4^-$  were refined (with the constraint that they add up to one), and yielded values of 0.929 and 0.071 respectively. The s.o.f.'s were then fixed, the temperature factors of the major  $ClO_4^-$  made anisotropic and refinement continued with the minor component, treated as a rigid body with tetrahedral angles and Cl-O bond distances constrained to *ca* 1.42 Å. The

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates  $(\times 10^5 \text{ for Cu}; \times 10^4 \text{ for the other non-H atoms})$  and equivalent isotropic temperature factors  $(\times 10^4 \text{ for Cu}; \times 10^3 \text{ for the other non-H atoms})$ 

Y V Z	$U_{eq}^{*}$
20022 (0) 11250 (2) 25220 (4)	277 (4)
Cu = 29038(8) = 11259(3) = 25330(4)	) 377(4)
N(1) 3622 (5) 2197 (2) 3101 (2)	38 (2)
N(2) = 2020(5) = 1522(2) = 1157(2)	38 (2)
N(3) 2333 (5) 2930 (2) 1617 (3)	44 (2)
O(1) 2472 (5) -68 (2) 2304 (2)	50 (2)
O(2) 3203 (5) 434 (2) 3807 (2)	46 (2)
C(1) 4653 (7) 2207 (3) 4073 (3)	48 (3)
C(2) 5380 (7) 2912 (3) 4555 (4)	54 (3)
C(3) 5054 (7) 3627 (3) 4025 (4)	45 (3)
C(4) 4036 (8) 3637 (3) 3051 (4)	54 (3)
C(5) 3345 (6) 2902 (2) 2595 (3)	38 (2)
C(6) 1688 (6) 2318 (3) 924 (3)	36 (2)
C(7) 734 (7) 2560 (3) -40 (3)	47 (3)
C(8) 130 (7) 1981 (3) -764 (4)	49 (3)
C(9) 566 (8) 1164 (3) -531 (4)	57 (3)
C(10) 1454 (7) 959 (3) 423 (3)	48 (3)
C(11) 2747 (6) $-175$ (3) 3238 (4)	43 (3)
C(12) 2524 (10) -1005 (3) 3672 (5)	68 (5)
Cl 7700 (2) 1158 (1) 2304 (1)	46(1)
O(3) <sup>+</sup> 6387 (7) 817 (3) 2785 (5)	101 (6)
O(4) <sup>†</sup> 7706 (9) 738 (4) 1382 (4)	112 (5)
$O(5)^{\dagger}$ 9511 (7) 1135 (4) 2898 (5)	106 (6)
Q(6) <sup>†</sup> 7169 (8) 1997 (3) 2078 (4)	82 (6)
O(7) 2134 (8) 4482 (2) 686 (3)	86 (4)
$O(3')^{\pm}$ 7895 1166 3361	34 (8)
$O(4')^{\pm}$ 5855 905 1832	24 (7)
O(5') = 9023 608 2054	37 (9)
$O(6')^{+}$ 8030 1955 1972	41 (13)

\*  $U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} a_{i} a_{j}$ .

† Occupancy = 0.929.

$$\ddagger$$
 Occupancy =  $0.071$ .

# Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°)with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

Symmetry	code:	(ii) — 1	+	х,	у,	z.
----------	-------	----------	---	----	----	----

Cu = N(1) 1.9 Cu = N(2) 1.9 Cu = O(1) 1.9	940 (3) 953 (3)	Cu–O(5 <sup>ii</sup> ) Cl–O(3)	2.638 (4) 1.396 (7)
Cu = O(1) 1.5 Cu = O(2) 2.6 Cu = O(3) 2.5	045 (3) 041 (4)	CI = O(4) CI = O(5) CI = O(6)	1.434(6) 1.387(5) 1.441(5)
$\begin{array}{l} N(1)-Cu-N(2) \\ O(1)-Cu-O(2) \\ N(1)-Cu-O(1) \\ N(1)-Cu-O(2) \\ N(2)-Cu-O(1) \\ N(2)-Cu-O(1) \\ N(2)-Cu-O(2) \end{array}$	94.9 (1) 64.7 (1) 165.3 (1) 101.2 (1) 99.7 (1) 161.8 (1)	N(1)-Cu-O N(2)-Cu-O O(1)-Cu-O O(2)-Cu-O O(3)-Cl-O( O(3)-Cl-O(	$\begin{array}{ccc} (5^{ii}) & 95 \cdot 8 \ (1) \\ (5^{ii}) & 93 \cdot 4 \ (1) \\ (5^{ii}) & 85 \cdot 0 \ (1) \\ (5^{ii}) & 76 \cdot 5 \ (1) \\ 4) & 111 \cdot 1 \ (4) \\ 5) & 112 \cdot 4 \ (4) \end{array}$
N(1)-Cu-O(3) O(1)-Cu-O(3) O(2)-Cu-O(3) N(2)-Cu-O(3)	87·3 (1) 86·8 (1) 82·2 (1) 107·4 (1)	O(3)ClO( O(4)ClO( O(4)ClO( O(5)ClO(	(6) 107.5 (4)   (5) 107.9 (4)   (6) 108.8 (3)   (6) 109.0 (3)

Table 3. Least-squares planes of the form |X + mY + nZ = p, where X, Y and Z refer to orthogonal axes, with deviations (Å) of relevant atoms in square brackets

E.s.d.'s for the atomic deviations are in the range 0.001-0.003 Å.

l m n p

Plane (1): N(1), N(2), O(1), O(2) (r.m.s.d. = 0.101)

0.940(1) -0.140(2) -0.312(2) 0.700(2)

[N(1) 0.085, N(2) -0.087, O(1) 0.116, O(2) -0.113, Cu 0.056]

Plane (2): N(1), C(1)–C(5) (r.m.s.d. = 0.005)

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.841\,(1) & -0.134\,(2) & -0.524\,(2) & -0.207\,(1) \\ [N(1) - 0.008, C(1)\,0.003, C(2)\,0.002, C(3)\,0.000, C(4)\,-0.005, \\ C(5)\,0.009] \end{array}$ 

Plane (3): N(2), C(6)–C(10) (r.m.s.d. = 0.015)

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0.874 (1) & 0.081 (2) & -0.479 (2) & 0.876 (2) \\ [N(2) - 0.013, C(6) 0.013, C(7) 0.005, C(8) - 0.023, C(9) 0.023, \\ C(10) - 0.005] \end{array}$ 

Plane (4): O(1), O(2), C(11), C(12) (r.m.s.d. = 0.002)

Angle between normals to planes (2) and (3) is 12.8 (1)°.

positions of the H atoms were calculated geometrically and 'floated' on the adjacent atoms assuming C-H 1.08 Å, and a fixed temperature factor of 0.07 Å<sup>2</sup>. The data converged when the maximum shift/e.s.d. was <0.03 with a refined weighting scheme,  $w = k[\sigma^2(F_o) + g(F_o)^2]$  with k = 0.848 and g = 0.00433, R = 0.0517and  $R_w = 0.0603$ . The maximum residual electron density was 0.77 e Å<sup>-3</sup>. Complex atomic scattering factors were employed and those for Cu and Cl were corrected for anomalous dispersion (Cromer & Waber, 1965; Cromer & Liberman, 1970). All calculations



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of  $[Cu(dpyam)(CH_3CO_2)-(ClO_4)]$ . H<sub>2</sub>O viewed down **c** showing the atom-numbering scheme used. Symmetry code: (i) -x,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (ii) 1 + x, y, z.

were carried out with SHELX 76 and XANADU (G. M. Sheldrick) and PLUTO (S. Motherwell) on an IBM 370/138 computer. Final atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors are given in Table 1.\* Selected bond lengths and angles are in Table 2 and some mean plane data in Table 3. Fig. 1 shows the molecular structure of (1), the atom-numbering scheme, and the packing arrangement viewed down c.

Discussion. The local molecular structure of the Cu atom involves an elongated rhombic octahedral CuN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>O'<sub>2</sub> chromophore. The dpyam ligand bonds in the plane almost symmetrically with Cu-N distances of 1.940 (3) and 1.953 (3) Å, mean 1.947 Å, a difference that is only just significant, with a bite angle of  $94.9(1)^{\circ}$ . The acetate group also bonds in the plane with Cu-O 1.992 (3) and 2.045 (3) Å, mean 2.019 Å, a difference that is considered significant. Due to the near symmetrical coordination of the acetate group to the Cu atom to give a four-membered ring, the bite angle at Cu is significantly less than  $90^{\circ}$ , at  $64.7 (1)^{\circ}$ . The axial positions are occupied by O atoms from the unsymmetrically bridging perchlorate groups, major  $ClO_{4}^{-}$ Cu-O(3)2·541 (4) Á and  $Cu-O(5^{ii})$ 2.638 (4) Å, mean 2.590 Å; minor ClO<sub>4</sub>, Cu-O(4') 2.576 Å and Cu-O(5'ii) 2.890 Å, mean 2.733 Å, distances that are consistent with semi-coordination of the bridging perchlorate groups (Procter, Hathaway & Nicholls, 1968), and yield a tetragonal T (=mean in-plane distance/mean out-of-plane distance) of 0.765. The four in-plane ligands, N(1), N(2), O(1) and O(2) are reasonably planar, r.m.s. deviation 0.1 Å (Table 3) with a slight tetrahedral twist. The Cu atom lies above this plane, 0.056 Å towards O(3) and the tetrahedral twist results in non-linear angles at Cu: N(1)-Cu-O(1) $165 \cdot 3^{\circ}$  and N(2)-Cu-O(2)  $161 \cdot 8^{\circ}$ . There are no unusual bond lengths or angles in the dpyam ligand (Ray & Hathaway, 1978). The separate pyridine rings are planar (Table 3) and inclined to each other at an angle of  $12.8^{\circ}$ . The acetate group is planar, and consistent with the near symmetrical bidentate coordination to the Cu atom, the C(11)-O(1) and C(11)-O(2) distances of 1.257 (6) and 1.261 (5) Å not being significantly different. The symmetrical coordination of the acetate group (Oldham, 1968) is unique in the coordinating role of this ligand to Cu, which usually involves very asymmetric bonding (Hathaway et al., 1980), with Cu-O distances of 2.00 and  $2 \cdot 5 - 2 \cdot 9$  Å, respectively, a difference in coordination of the acetate O atoms that is not reflected in a difference in the C-O bond distances. In addition the mean C(11)–O distance in (1) of 1.259 Å is not very different from the mean C–O distance of 1.25 +0.02 Å in the unsymmetrically bonded acetate groups of [Cu(bpy)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>)](ClO<sub>4</sub>). 2H<sub>2</sub>O and  $[Cu(bpy)_{2}(CH_{2}CO_{2})](BF_{4})$  (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridyl), (Hathaway et al., 1980), notwithstanding the different bonding role of the acetate in these three complexes. Attempts to determine the IR spectra of the acetate group in these complexes were prevented by the presence of overlapping nitrogen chelate ligand bands. The bridging role of the perchlorate group is reflected in the IR spectra of the group (Table 4): the strong  $v_3$  and  $v_4$  bands of the ionic perchlorate group are clearly split into three bands and the forbidden  $v_1$  band of the free ion appears with medium intensity (Hathaway & Underhill, 1961). These results are consistent with a bidentate bonding role of the ClO<sub>4</sub> with approximate  $C_{2\nu}$  symmetry. The main ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> is reasonably tetrahedral, mean O-Cl-O angle 109.5° and mean Cl-O distance 1.42 Å, but in view of the disorder present, no attempt was made to interpret the structure in the approximate  $C_{2v}$  symmetry of a bridging ClO<sub>4</sub> ion. The bridging role of the  $ClO_4^-$  group is unusual, but not unique, in Cu<sup>II</sup> chemistry; it occurs in  $[Cu(bpy)_2(O_2ClO_2)](ClO_4)$  (Nakai, 1971), but with less symmetrical Cu–O distances of 2.45 and 2.73 Å.

The elongation axes of the rhombic octahedral  $CuN_2O_2O'_2$  chromophore are aligned approximately parallel to a (Fig. 1) and are connected by the bridging  $ClO_4^-$  groups in this direction. The chains of  $[Cu(dpyam)(CH_3CO_2)(ClO_4)]$  are linked by the uncoordinated water molecule into an infinite three-dimensional structure *via* some short non-bonded

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, calculated H-atom coordinates and full bond-length and bond-angle data have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36655 (20 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 4. IR spectrum of the  $ClO_4$  group (cm<sup>-1</sup>)

	$v_1 A$	v <sub>2</sub>	v <sub>3</sub>	v <sub>4</sub>
(1)	930 m		1070	625
			1110 s	630 s
			1145	637
Ionic	935 w	460 s	1050–1170 s	630 s

distances  $O(7) \cdots N(3) 2.828(5)$  and  $O(7) \cdots O(2^{j}) 2.847(5) \text{ Å}$ .

The electronic reflectance spectrum of (1) involves a broad peak at 15 820 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a weakly resolved shoulder at *ca* 10 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Procter, Hathaway & Hodgson, 1972), and the polycrystalline ESR spectrum is axial,  $g_1 = 2.074$  and  $g_{11} = 2.284$ . The single-crystal ESR spectrum of (1) yields three g factors 2.053, 2.065 and 2.274, but as the CuN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> plane is slightly misaligned by the monoclinic twofold axis, these crystal g factors cannot be unambiguously resolved into their local molecular g factors. Nevertheless, the electronic properties of (1) are consistent with the elongated rhombic octahedral CuN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>O'<sub>2</sub> chromophore of (1) as reported above (Hathaway & Billing, 1970).

The authors acknowledge the award of a Senior Demonstratorship (to ST), help in data collection by Drs P. G. Owston, M. McPartlin, and D. K. Henrick (Chemistry Department, Polytechnic of North London, Holloway), the Computer Bureau, UCC, for computing facilities, Drs G. M. Sheldrick, S. Motherwell and R. Taylor (Cambridge University) for the use of their programs, and the Microanalysis Section, UCC, for analysis.

#### References

- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53. 1891–1898.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104–109.
- HATHAWAY, B. J. & BILLING, D. E. (1970). Coord. Chem. Rev. 35, 143–207.
- HATHAWAY, B. J., RAY, N., KENNEDY, D., O'BRIEN, N. & MURPHY, B. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 1371–1377.
- HATHAWAY, B. J. & UNDERHILL, A. E. (1961). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 3091–3096.
- NAKAI, H. (1971). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 44. 2412-2415.
- OLDHAM, C. (1968). Prog. Inorg. Chem. 10. 223-258.
- PROCTER, I. M., HATHAWAY, B. J. & HODGSON, P. G. (1972). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 34. 3689–3696.
- PROCTER, I. M., HATHAWAY, B. J. & NICHOLLS, P. (1968). *J. Chem. Soc. A*, pp. 1678–1684.
- RAY, N. & HATHAWAY, B. J. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34. 3224–3229.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 1577-1580

## Structure of Acetato(N-methyl-N'-salicylidene-1,3-propanediaminato)copper(II) Dimer

#### By Reijo Hämäläinen, Markku Ahlgrén and Urho Turpeinen

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Helsinki, SF-00100 Helsinki 10, Finland

(Received 14 September 1981; accepted 14 December 1981)

Abstract.  $[Cu(C_{11}H_{15}N_2O)(C_2H_3O_2)]_2$ ,  $(C_{13}H_{18}CuN_2-O_3)_2$ ,  $M_r = 627.68$ , triclinic, P1, a = 8.247 (3), b = 9.173 (2), c = 10.239 (3) Å,  $\alpha = 108.88$  (2),  $\beta = 111.20$  (3),  $\gamma = 76.49$  (3)°, V = 677.3 (4) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 1,  $D_m = 1.53$  (flotation),  $D_x = 1.539$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo  $K\alpha) = 1.68$  mm<sup>-1</sup>. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by the block-diagonal least-squares technique to R = 0.043 for 2246 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ . The tridentate Schiff base derived from salicylaldehyde and *N*-methyl-1,3-propanediamine form together with the acetate O atom the coordination plane around Cu. The axial positions are occupied by the more distant carboxyl O atoms (2.51 and 2.83 Å).

0567-7408/82/051577-04\$01.00

The acetate ion acts under these conditions as an unsymmetrically chelating and monoatomic bridging group.

**Introduction.** Several Schiff-base  $Cu^{II}$  complexes derived from L amino acids and salicylaldehyde have been analysed by X-ray methods (Ueki, Ashida, Sasada & Kakudo, 1967, 1969; Fujimaki, Oonishi, Muto, Nakahara & Konijama, 1971; Hämäläinen, Ahlgrén, Turpeinen & Rantala, 1978; Hämäläinen, Turpeinen, Ahlgrén & Rantala, 1978; Korhonen & Hämäläinen, 1979, 1981). The coordination sphere of the Cu<sup>II</sup> ion in these compounds has almost invariably

© 1982 International Union of Crystallography